



MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Director's Office

Greg Gianforte, Governor
Misty Ann Giles, Director

doa.mt.gov

406.444.2460

doadirector@mt.gov

NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

Solicitation Title/Event Name:

Solicitation Number:

Solicitation Close Date:

Notice of Intent to Award Post Date:

Issuing Contracts Officer contact information:

The State intends to award a contract to the apparent successful offeror(s) of the above-mentioned solicitation. The Notice of Intent to Award shall not be considered a binding commitment by the state.

Under the Montana Procurement Act, the State has made the relevant scoring matrix/bid tab for the above-mentioned solicitation available for public inspection. Comments from the public regarding the proposed award must be submitted to the Contracts Officer listed above within this 7-day notice period.

Apparent Successful Offeror(s)

Unsuccessful Offeror(s)

Regional Prevention Specialist

PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV

SCORE SUMMARY WORKSHEET

Category	Possible Points	Alliance for Youth, Inc REG-1	Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC REG-1	Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC REG-5	Butte Cares Inc. REG-1	Butte Cares Inc. REG-2
CSAP Strategies	300 Points					
Information Dissemination	50	48	45	47	48	48
Education	50	48	46	47	48	48
Alternatives	50	48	45	47	48	48
Environmental	50	48	46	47	47	48
Community-Based Process	50	48	46	47	48	48
Problem Identification and Referral	50	49	45	47	48	48
Other	250 Points					
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	150	113	113	142	143
Quarterly Status Reports	100	98	75	75	75	75
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points					
Years in Business	100	100	80	80	100	100
Relevant Experience	100	94	75	75	94	94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	38	38	47	47
Cost Proposal	200 Points					
		199.96	199.98	199.97	199.96	199.26
Subtotal	800	778	654	663	745	747
Cost Proposal	200	200	200	200	200	199
Total Points	1,000	978	854	863	945	946
Bonus Points	50	50	50	50	50	50
FINAL TOTAL	1,050	1,028	904	913	995	996

	Region 1 Awardee	Region 2 Awardee	Region 3 Awardee	Region 4 Awardee	Region 5 Awardee	Region 6 Awardee
Vendor	Alliance for Youth, Inc REG-1	Butte Cares Inc. REG-2	Butte Cares Inc. REG-3	South Central MT Regional MHC REG-4	South Central MT Regional MHC REG-5	Butte Cares Inc. REG-6
Final Score	1,028	996	997	1,010	1,009	997

Butte Cares Inc. REG-3	Butte Cares Inc. REG-4	Butte Cares Inc. REG-5	Butte Cares Inc. REG-6	Dynamic Recovery REG-1	Dynamic Recovery REG-2	Dynamic Recovery REG-4	Eastern Montana Community Menatal Health Center REG-5
48	48	48	48	38	38	38	47
48	48	48	48	38	38	38	47
48	48	48	48	38	38	38	47
48	48	48	48	30	37	38	47
48	48	48	48	38	38	38	47
48	48	48	48	38	38	38	45
143	143	143	143	113	113	113	148
75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
100	100	100	100	80	80	80	100
94	94	94	94	75	75	75	94
47	47	47	47	30	30	30	47
199.98	199.79	199.95	200.00	200.00	200.00	199.98	200.00
747	747	747	747	593	600	601	744
200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
947	947	947	947	793	800	801	944
50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
997	997	997	997	843	850	851	994

Regional Prevention Specialist

PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV

SCORE SUMMARY WORKSHEET

Eastern Montana Community Menatal Health Center REG- 6	Flathead City-County Health Department REG-2	Gallatin City-county Health Department REG-3	South Central MT Regional MHC REG-4	South Central MT Regional MHC REG- 5	Yellowstone City County Health Department REG-4	Youth Connection Foundation REG- 1	Youth Connection Foundation REG-2	Youth Connection Foundation REG-3
47	47	47	47	47	47	48	48	48
47	47	47	47	47	47	48	48	48
47	47	47	47	47	47	48	48	48
47	47	47	47	47	47	48	49	48
47	47	47	47	47	40	48	48	48
45	47	38	47	47	40	48	48	48
148	145	113	142	142	143	113	113	113
75	75	75	94	94	75	75	75	75
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
94	95	94	94	94	94	95	95	95
47	47	40	48	47	47	48	48	48
200.00	199.26	200.00	199.79	199.95	200.00	199.96	199.26	199.98
744	744	695	760	759	727	719	720	719
200	199	200	200	200	200	200	199	200
944	943	895	960	959	927	919	919	919
50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
994	993	945	1,010	1,009	977	969	969	969

Regional Prevention Specialist

PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV

SCORE SUMMARY WORKSHEET

Youth Connection Foundation REG-4	Youth Connection Foundation REG-5	Youth Connection Foundation REG-6
48	48	48
48	48	48
48	48	48
48	48	48
48	48	48
48	48	48
113	113	113
75	75	75
100	100	100
95	95	95
48	48	48
199.79	199.95	200.00
719	719	719
200	200	200
919	919	919
50	50	50
969	969	969

DLI_Credential Database RFP**DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU****Cost Worksheet**

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,956.80		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$225,000.00	199.96	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$224,978.03	199.98	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	199.96	
Dynamic Recovery	\$224,956.80	200.00	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Foundation	\$225,000.00	199.96	

DLI_Credential Database RFP**DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU****Cost Worksheet**

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,165.00		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	199.26	
Dynamic Recovery	\$224,165.00	200.00	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$225,000.00	199.26	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Youth Connections Foundation	\$225,000.00	199.26	

DLI_Credential Database RFP**DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU****Cost Worksheet**

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's cost is**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,972.00		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	199.98	
Dynamic Recovery	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$224,972.00	200.00	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Youth Connections Foundation	\$225,000.00	199.98	

DLI_Credential Database RFP**DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU****Cost Worksheet**

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's cost is**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,763.00		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	199.79	
Dynamic Recovery	\$224,785.60	199.98	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$225,000.00	199.79	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$224,763.00	200.00	
Youth Connections Foundation	\$225,000.00	199.79	

DLI_Credential Database RFP**DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU****Cost Worksheet**

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's cost is**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,946.00		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$224,978.03	199.97	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	199.95	
Dynamic Recovery	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$224,946.00	200.00	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$225,000.00	199.95	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Youth Connections Foundation	\$225,000.00	199.95	

DLI_Credential Database RFP

DLI-RFP-2025-0078GU

Cost Worksheet

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. **Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's**

Cost			
Points Available	200		
Lowest Cost	\$224,995.00		
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost	Points Earned	Notes:
Alliance for Youth, Inc.	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Butte Cares Inc	\$225,000.00	200.00	
Dynamic Recovery	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Eastern Montna Community Mental Health Center	\$224,995.00	200.00	
Flathead City-County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Gallatin City-County health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
South Central MT Regional MHC	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Yellowstone City County Health Department	\$0.00	#DIV/0!	
Youth Connections Foundation	\$225,000.00	200.00	

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Alliance for Youth, Inc			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 sup response. 2 activities above and beyond six bullet points. Outline goals. 2 - 46 forms and media clear outline. Info sources. Rationals details 3 - 49 similar reasons. (means their scoring reasons were the same, I [Patrick Navarro-SPSD] shortened the description here)
Education	50	48	1 - 48 sup response. Good explanation of activities. Implement and goals. 2 - 48 education and goals. Different events. Detail. 3 - 49 agree with previous comments. Current events. Plans
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 Superior response. Explained everything very well 2 - 46 more education 3 - 48 time line implementation
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 outline other options 2 - 47 Overall details of their information were good 3 - 48 experience. Partners tools of engagement
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 2 activities. Authority outlines 2 - 46 vision plans stakeholders 3 - 47 - outline counsil coalition
Problem Identification and Referral	50	49	1 - 48 more than 2 activities outlines 2 - 46 similar reasons (means their scoring reasons were the same, I [Patrick Navarro-SPSD] shortened the description here) 3 - 49 outline and implementation established campaign
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	150	1 - 147 logic model detailed above and beyond 2 - 150 provided more info than requested 3 - 148 logic model, more info than requested
Quarterly Status Reports	100	98	1 - 75 agreed to provide reports on time 2 - 100 agreed to provide 3 - 98 - quarterly report sample
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 30 + years in business 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 solid 2 - 94 solid 3 - 85.5 outlined 39 years
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 list of overlaping projects. Not above and beyond 2 - 47 same reasoning (means their scoring reasons were the same, I [Patrick Navarro-SPSD] shortened the description here) 3 - 47 outline projects for logic model

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	45	1 - 47 three activites. Gave complete list 2 - 43 laid out spacifics. Multi avenues of relaying substance abuse prevention 3 - 45 provided more than required
Education	50	46	1 - 47 four activites 2 - 45 detailed notes of different stages. 3 - 46 overall good response. Outlined 4 activites. Not as detailed
Alternatives	50	45	1 - 47 2 activites, good explanation 2 - 46 offer a lot of events. Different plans. outreach 3 - 40 overall good response. Problem identification and referral
Environmental	50	46	1 - 47 2 activiteis good 2 - 46 addressed all issues. Different community partners 3 - 47 liked the different community partners
Community-Based Process	50	46	1 - 46 working with coalitions 2 - 43 engaged partners. clarity 3 - 45 good response, 3 activites, lolal coalitions,
Problem Identification and Referral	50	45	1 - 46 overall good. Met all activities 2 - 43 very clear met all points. Info could have had more detail 3 - 38 overall good. Wanted more detail
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 2 - 113 said they wold provide 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 did not give more 2 - 94 outlined dates, did not go further 3 - 75 acknowledges did not elaborate
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	80.00	1 - 80 2 - 80 started in June of 24 3 - 80
Relevant Experience	100	75.00	1 - 75 good response, gave what was asked 2 - 80 experience is not strong 3 - 60 did outline some time of services
Relevant Past Projects	50	38.00	1 - 38 provied info. Just don't have the years 2 - 39 not much info to go off. 3 - 38 outline

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Breaking Chains for Recovery LLC			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 3 activities good strategies 2 - 44 information address all points 3 - 45 met min reqs. Outline of prevention partners
Education	50	47	1 - 47 4 activities. strategy 2 - 45 importance of two way education 3 - 46 good experience. 4 activities
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 good explanation 2 - 45 good substance reactivities 3 - 45 3 activities with connections to rural communities
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 two activites. 2 - 46 one of the better submissions 3 - 37.5 strategy
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 community based 2 - 40 engaged partners. clarity 3 - 47 experience in strengthening
Problem Identification and Referral	50	47	1 - 47 overall good. Met all activities 2 - 45 refusal skills and trust management 3 - 45 strong response. May have missed requirements
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 2 - 113 3 - 113 will provide as requested
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 acknowledge and understand 3 - 75
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	80	1 - 80 2 - 80 3 - 80 June of 2024
Relevant Experience	100	75	1 - 75 good response, gave what was asked 2 - 75 experience is not strong 3 - 75 did outline some time of services
Relevant Past Projects	50	38	1 - 38 provided projects over one year 2 - 39 3 - 38 not too much detail on prevention
Years in Business will be scored according to the below chart.			
Established Company years in Business	Points scored		
One (1) to five (5) established years in business	80		
Six (6) to ten (10) established years in business	90		

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Butte Cares Inc. REG-1			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 above and beyond. Implementation plan 2 - 42 was not specific at all. outline 3 - 49 outline
Education	50	48	1 - 48 above and beyond. Evaluation costs 2 - 44 rational. Goals and plans easy to follow 3 - 48 BC experience. Outlined evidence based programs
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 wanted more info. They have the implementaion plan. 2 - 43 activities 3 - 47 overall good, detailed specifics for region con - outlines of implementation
Environmental	50	47	1 - 48 extra info 2 - 44 implementation 3 - 45 overall good response. Needs more detail
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 evaluation 2 - 42 needed more info could move up 3 - 46 overall good
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 outline. Additional info 2 - 45 3 - 47 outline implementaion material. Could move up
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	142	1 - 142 agreed to it and outlined it. 2 - 150 gave more than just verbal commitment. 3 - 142 overall good response. Showed added effort by providing model Did provide more info. Gave implementation planning piece. Basic documents
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75.00	1 - 75 agreed to respond 2 - 94 willing to provide 3 - 90 willing to provide reports
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 primary prevention 2 - 100 3 - 100 they have over 40 years in business
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 94 3 - 94 Good layout of relevant experience.
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 48 overlaping projects 2 - 47 3 - 48 timeframe and experience CON - did they go above and beyond? HB-great distribution in education.
Years in Business will be scored according to the below chart.			
Established Company years in Business	Points scored		

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Butte Cares Inc. REG-2			xxxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 looked at what they gave and what we asked for. 2 - 42 not a lot of details but good submission 3 - 49 outline, newsletter activity covered
Education	50	48	1 - 48 does move to superior. Implementation plan 2 - 44 evidence based techniques/ not the most solid rational 3 - 48 did touch on all requirements and implementation.
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 provided additional info 2 - 43 wide range of social events 3 - 47 provided additional info. Great outline and hands on.
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 good implementation 2 - 44 implement productive factors 3 - 45 wanted more detail.
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 A&B submission gave description of activities 2 - 42 general outline 3 - 46 wanted more details
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 additional information 2 - 45 activities laid out clearly 3 - 48 additional
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 142 did not provide only described one did agree to provide one 2 - 150 did 3 - 142 good response. Did provide a summary
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 94 will comply 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 40+ years of experience 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 94 plenty of prevention exp 3 - 94 highlight years of prevention exp
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 overlapping projects 2 - 47 supported the community 3 - 48 specific programs

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Butte Cares Inc. REG-3			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 provided plan evaluation and cost. Good description 2 - 42 provided plan eval and cost 3 - 49 outlined activites, provided a chart for plan and outcomes CON - not sure they could go above and beyond
Education	50	48	1 - 48 Provided plan eval and cost 2 - 44 rational program 3 - 48 outline
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 good implementation 2 - 43 outline 3 - 47 looked for more CSAP strategy
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 plans outline 2 - 44 programs 3 - 45 evaluations
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 plans, description costs 2 - 42 costs 3 - 46 outlines
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 plans 2 - 45 activities cost good explanation 3 - 48overview of implementation
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 143 short outline 2 - 143 3 - 142
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 agreed to provide 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 over 40 years 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 95 about as good as what could be provided 2 - 94 3 - 94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 overlaping projects quality 2 - 47 3 - 48

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Butte Cares Inc. REG-4			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 42 3 - 49 above and beyond activities objective outcome measures
Education	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 44 3 - 48 above and beyond activites objective outcome
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 43 3 - 47 above and beyond activites, objective and outcome
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 44 3 - 45 above and beyond activites, objectives and outcome
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 42 3 - 46 above and beyond activities, objectives and outcome
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 47 2 - 45 3 - 48 activities, overview and implementation
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 142 2 - 150 solid model, short outline 3 - 142
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 agreed to provide 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 3 - 100 40 years in business
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 94 provided all they could provide 3 - 94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 overlaping past projects good quality 2 - 47 3 - 48

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Butte Cares Inc. REG-5			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost 2 - 42 3 - 49
Education	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost 2 - 47 3 - 48
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost 2 - 43 3 - 47
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost 2 - 44 factors 3 - 48
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost. Good details. 2 - 42 3 - 46
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 provided more that was asked. Implementation and cost 2 - 45 3 - 48 above and beyond
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 142 moved to 143 outlined short draft 2 - 143 3 - 142
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 agreed to provide 2 - 75 3 - 90 moved to 75
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 more than 40 years 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 94 provided all they could provide 3 - 94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 47 overlapping past projects good quality 3 - 48

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Butte Cares Inc. REG-6		XXXXXX	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 42 3 - 49 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Education	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 44 3 - 48 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 43 3 - 47 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 44 3 - 45 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 42 3 - 46 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 45 3 - 48 went above and beyond. Timeline outcome. Evaluation plan. Funding structure.
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 143 2 - 143 3 - 142 CON TO 143 Short outline
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 3 - 90 will provide
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 more the 40 years in business 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 94 3 - 94 outlined 40 years in prevention services
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 47 3 - 48 above and beyond timefram and min reqs.

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Dynamic Recovery		XXXXXX	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	38	1 - 38 2 activites 2 - 38 overall good. Meets requirements 3 - 38 no specific strategies. Minimal detail
Education	50	38	1 - 38 2 activites 2 - 38 bare minimum activities 3 - 38 serviceable
Alternatives	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities 2 - 36 did not meet criteria 3 - 38 meets criteria more details. Videos are not engaging community. Keep consistant with what they provided. Meets
Environmental	50	30	1 - 35 gave one activity. Did not meet 2 - 25 only provided one of the two 3 - 37 dose not meet requirements
Community-Based Process	50	38	1 - 38 2 activites very minimal 2 - 34 lacked detail. Did not say what trainings were for. 3 - 39 overall good response. Community engagement
Problem Identification and Referral	50	38	1 - 38 not a lot of info 2 - 35 these activities seem to be very similar. Separated two 3 - 45 2 activities. Projected outcome. Language of coalition. CON - missing info
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 agreed to it 2- 113 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 agreed to provide 2 - 75 agreed to provide 3 - 94 agreed
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	80	1 - 80 2023-2025 2 - 80 3 - 80
Relevant Experience	100	75	1 - 70 minimally. Not a lot of primary prevention 2 - 75 variety of preventon 3 - 75 revelent
Relevant Past Projects	50	30	1 - 30 provided but very little 2 - 30 lacekd prevention experience 3 - 30

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Dynamic Recovery			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities nothing more 2 - 36 good information 3 - 40 meets quals and reqs CON - good details
Education	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities 2 - 37 education plan looked good. 3 - 38 meets reqs
Alternatives	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities 2 - 40 more than good 3 - 38 meets bare minimum
Environmental	50	37	1 - 38 good response 2 activites 2 - 30 would like more info. 3 - 38 meet 2 activity requirement
Community-Based Process	50	38	1 - 38 2 activites 2 - 33 no references 3 - 38 overall good and meets reqs
Problem Identification and Referral	50	38	1 - 38 2 activites 2 - 35 3 - 38 meets min reqs
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 agreed 2 - 113 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 meets bare min 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	80	1 - 100 2023 to present 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 Provided all documents 3 - 70
Relevant Past Projects	50	30	1 - 30 2 - 30 lacekd prevention experience 3 - 30

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Dynamic Recovery			XXXXXX
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	38	1 - 38 mis-numbered (identical to region #2) 2 - 36 2 activities 3 - 40
Education	50	38	1 - 38 2 - 40 good responses, good info. 3 - 38
Alternatives	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities, meets min reqs 2 - 40 solid foundation 3 - 38 no extra detail
Environmental	50	38	1 - 38 2 activities meets min reqs. 2 - 30 3 - 38
Community-Based Process	50	38	1 - 38 good response. Little detail. Meets reqs 2 - 33 min detail 3 - 38 overall good, meets min reqs
Problem Identification and Referral	50	38	1 - 38 little detail 2 - 35 clarity between the two activities 3 - 38 no extra detail. No extra details
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 will provide 2 - 113 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 will provide reports 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	80	1 - 80 2023 to present 2 - 80 3 - 80
Relevant Experience	100	75	1 - 70 provided but little primary prevention 2 - 75 lots of community but not prevent exp 3 - 75 lots of experience
Relevant Past Projects	50	30	1 - 30 provided but little to no primary prevention 2 - 30 3 - 30

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Eastern Montana Community Menatal Health Center			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 provided data on why they picked the activites 2 - 45 good rational for the media companies 3 - 47 good response.
Education	50	47	1 - 47 good quality response 2 - 44 understanding of the CSAP eduction 3 - 47 good response.
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 proactive and engaging 2 - 44 wide variety of activities 3 - 47 good response
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 42 good response provides evidence 3 - 47
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 42 community stakeholders 3 - 47 strong response
Problem Identification and Referral	50	45	1 - 47 rationale explained what they are doing 2 - 41 identified the problems. Could use more detail 3 - 37 did not find second activity CON - identify that there is more
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	148	1 - 147 superior. Good quality logic model 2 - 150 3 - 148 small overview
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 will submit 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 for 37 years in business 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 -100 Provided all that they could 3 - 94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 50 foundation in CSP strategies 3 - 46 strong

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Eastern Montana Community Menatal Health Center			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 above and beyond more than two activities and why they selected those activities 2 - 45 good rational for the media companies 3 - 47 overall good response. Could have elaborated a little more.
Education	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 47 3 - 47 good information for the 2 activities. More elaboration. Meets requirements.
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 44 wide variety of activities 3 - 47 wide variety of activities
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 42 good response provides evidence 3 - 47
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 42 community stakeholders 3 - 47 strong response
Problem Identification and Referral	50	45	1 - 47 rationale explained what they are doing 2 - 41 identified the problems. Could use more detail 3 - 37 did not find second activity CON - identify that there is more
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	148	1 - 147 2 - 150 went above and beyond. Had a great Logic Model 3 - 148

Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Eastern Montana Community Menatal Health Center			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 will comply 3 - 90 adjusted to 75
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 in business for 57 years 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 great experience 2 - 100 prevention services since 1997 3 - 94 outlined 20 years of products and services
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 50 have done many and based on CSAP 3 - 46 great foundation on CSAP

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Flathead City-County Health Department			xxxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 48 not sure is was above and do provide goals. 2 - 45 identify problems and how to fix 3 - 47 overall good. 2 activities and did reflect exp an knowledge CON - willing to move to 47 did not go above and beyond. Time frame what is above and beyond. FTE specification
Education	50	47	1 - 47 good response 2 - 45 meets reqs 3 - 47 meets req. FTE again
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 good response 2 - 44 min reqs 3 - 47 min reqs.
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 good response 2 - 44 min reqs. statistics 3 - 47 min reqs. Good outline
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 good info, has full 2 - 45 meets requirements 3 - 47 meets requirements. Bare min
Problem Identification and Referral	50	47	1 - 47 thoughtout and good description 2 - 45 clear and lots of details 3 - 47 great overview, 2 activities
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Flathead City-County Health Department			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	145	1 - 145 well laid out 2 - 150 model was clear 3 - 143 above and beyond with implementation plan CON - MAYBE TOO HIGH?? AGREED TO 145
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 will submit 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 3 - 100 Est. 1895
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 94 emphases 2 - 100 lots of experience 3 - 90 did outline over 40 of prevention strategy experience CON - why is it a perfect score. Layout of the organization was good.
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 38 only provided two past projects 2 - 50 recent and ongoing. Lots of details 3 - 47 response outlines this and

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Gallatin City-county Health Department			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 data on why they took their approach 2 - 43 developing. Bracket very solid 3 - 47 overall good response. 2 activities. Local council CON - mention of coalitions. Data backed
Education	50	47	1 - 47 information 2 activities 2 - 43 solid did not think it reach to higher good. 3 - 47 response address partnership
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 good info 2 activities 2 - 43 quality is good. 3 - 47 met reqs. 2 activities. Drop-in centers
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 details and data back-up 2 - 44 community outreach 3 - 47 engagement with local advisory
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 not much difference, 2 activites 2 - 43 developing a network 3 - 46 consistant with info. 2 activities. Not as strong
Problem Identification and Referral	50	38	1 - 47 2 activites 2 - 45 lots of detail 3 - 40 lots of info CON- 2nd activity seemed to only refer to treatment. Not in line with CSAP. Not a referral program.

Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Gallatin City-county Health Department			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 2 - 113 3 - 113 Willing to provide
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 Will submit 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 3 - 100 over 75 years in business
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 experience 2 - 94 good amount of information 3 - 75 touched on key points CON -
Relevant Past Projects	50	40	1 - 40 liked to have seen more. 2 - 40 lots of details but only one project 3 - 38 One project since 2023

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
South Central MT Regional MHC			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 48 good detail above and beyond. Short term, inter and long term outcomes. 2 - 43 discussed issues with youth cannabis. Short intermediate and long term outcomes 3 - 47 good response, detail. Short term and intermediate outcomes CON - may not be 48. outcomes were not fleshed out.
Education	50	47	1 - 47 good detail backed up with stats. strategies 2 - 42 same issues provided. Solutions. Community town hall. 3 - 47 data, 2 activities quality
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 quality. Well explained 2 - 42 same issues provided. Solutions. Community town hall. Very thought out 3 - 47 strong response. Outlined activities short and long term
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 Quality. 2 - 42 youth group training. 3 - 47 details
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 Quality. Willing to work with others 2 - 42 solid foundation 3 - 47 strong activities.
Problem Identification and Referral	50	47	1 - 47 Quality. Willing to work with others 2 - 43 stronger consistent 3 - 47 minimum requirements outcomes

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
South Central MT Regional MHC		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	142	1 - 142 agreed to provide it 2 - 140 did not provide one but gave a detailed description 3 - 130 bullet point layout. CON - being consistant. Not an actual logic modle
Quarterly Status Reports	100	94	1 - 95 provided a narrative 2 - 100 provided more that just saying they would provide 3 - 94 outline
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 business for 50 years 3- 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 95 prime business is prevention work. Lots of experience 3 - 90 contracts with HHS for the last 7 years.
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 47 gave three relevent projects. 2 - 48 detail. Documented outcomes 3 - 47 same notes as committee

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
South Central MT Regional MHC			XXXXXX
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 good response and explanations 2 - 44 addressed the issues 3 - 47 experience and knowledge
Education	50	47	1 - 47 good response 2 - 41 rationale 3 - 47 provided a visual
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 2 - 43 family elements were good 3 - 47 consistant strong
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 good response 2 - 42 middle good area. 3 - 47 partnering with law enforcement
Community-Based Process	50	47	1 - 47 " 2 - 40 good info. Strategy could have had more 3 - 47 multi-agency collab
Problem Identification and Referral	50	47	1 - 47 good response. 2 - 43 medthods of limitation 3 - 47 strengthening student assistance
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:
South Central MT Regional MHC		xxxxxx

Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	142	1 - 142 outlined how they would provide it 2 - 142 willing to provide 3 - 130
Quarterly Status Reports	100	94	1 - 94 2 - 100 Will provide 3 - 94

Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 50 plus years 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 not sure about above and beyond 2 - 95 3 - 90 primary prevention
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 past projects did not go above and beyond 2 - 48 prevention work 3 - 47 strong and good response and list of projects

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Yellowstone City County Health Department			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	47	1 - 47 2 activities did not go above and beyond 2 - 39 2 activities needed more info 3 - 47.5 3 activities. Met min quals
Education	50	47	1 - 47 2 activities. Met min quals 2 - 41 needed more information 3 - 47 provided min reqs. Hands on
Alternatives	50	47	1 - 47 2 activities. Met quals 2 - 42 rationals 3 - 47 outlined experience
Environmental	50	47	1 - 47 2 activities 2 - 43 statistics on abusive substances 3 - 47 round table for community toolkits
Community-Based Process	50	40	1 - 47 2 activities. Met quals. 2 - 38 lacked details 3 - 45 met min reqs. Not a lot of explanation CON - little info
Problem Identification and Referral	50	40	1 - 47 2 activities. Good detail. 2 - 34 missed the mark. Lean more towards environmental and education CSAPS. 3 - 47.5 good outline of curriculum CON - issues with what the strategy is looking for. Liked the employment engagement.

Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Yellowstone City County Health Department			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	143	1 - 145 completed Logic Model 2 - 135 3 - 148 looked like a complete draft
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 agreed to provide 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 since 1974 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	94	1 - 94 primary and secondary prevention 2 - 90 3 - 94
Relevant Past Projects	50	47	1 - 47 primary prevention 2 - 47 3 - 48 indoor air went above and beyond CON -

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			XXXXXX
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 activites timeline 2 - 48 details. Very specific about strategies and motivations 3 - 47.5 went above and beyond gave budget
Education	50	48	1 - 48 local activities, 2 - 48 provided more than asked for. 3 - 48 t3 activities. Budget for all three activities
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 good response 2 - 45 activities were clear 3 - 47 good info and bujdet
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 detail 2 - 48 details 3 - 48 resonse outline and budget and outline
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 gave great 2 - 46 understanding of 3 - 47 provided budget
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 good response 2 - 47 solid, timeline 3 - 48 great outline and detail
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation		xxxxxx

Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 142 provided outline. 2 - 113 3 - 113 agreed to provide
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 good response 2 - 75 3 - 90

Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 3 - 100 started in 2003
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 95 have done job for a long time 2 - 100 gave info on whole timeline 3 - 90 response outline
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 48 went into superior. Gave a lot of info 2 - 50 went over ever CSAP strategy 3 - 47 response, experience

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 timeline 2 - 48 background info 3 - 47.5 outline
Education	50	48	1 - 48 liked additional timeline 2 - 47 specific resources 3 - 48 detail 3 act instead of 2 with cost proposal
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 great detail 2 - 46 substance free activities 3 - 47 budget. Leadership
Environmental	50	49	1 - 48 good detail additional detail 2 - 48 detail. budget 3 - 48 tough CSAP strategy CON - three activities willing to go up
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 above and beyond. Details. 2 - 46 community based 3 - 47 additional info. Details
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 details 2 - 46 great details stakeholders 3 - 48 details
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 Provided outline 2 - 113 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 will provide 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 started in 2003 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 94 relevant exp. Good response 2 - 100 extensive individual experience. Adt. info 3 - 90 outlined award from HHS CON - don't see going above and beyond.
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 48 Gave a lot of info, relevant to CSAPS 3 - 48

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 48 budget 2 activites 3 - 47.5
Education	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 47 resources education goals 3 - 48
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 adtl info time line 2 - 46 free activities 3 - 47
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 budget 2 - 48 level of detail school 3 - 48 counties
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 adtl info 2 - 46 community partners 3 - 47
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 46 plans at risk, budget 3 - 48 info
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 113 2 - 113 will provide 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 will provide 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 business since 2003 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 95 experience 2 - 100 individual experience 3 - 98
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 48 above and beyond 2 - 50 listed and 3 - 47

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Youth Connection Foundation		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 detail and additional info 2 - 48 detail 3 - 47.5
Education	50	48	1 - 48 detail and additional info 2 - 47 detail 3 - 48 detail and additional info
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 detail and additional info 2 - 46 3 - 47 detail and additional info
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 48 exceled in detail and specifics 3 - 48
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 detail and additional info 2 - 46 community based processes 3 - 47
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 2 - 46 detail and additional info 3 - 48
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Youth Connection Foundation		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113.00	1 - 113 2 - 113 will provide upon request 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75.00	1 - 75 agreed to provide 2 - 75 3 - 90
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 2 - 100 since 2003 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 95 2 - 100 3 - 90
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 48 Above and beyond 2 - 48 3 - 47

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			XXXXXX
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 timeline above and beyond 2 - 48 3 - 47.5 current media campaign
Education	50	48	1 - 48 timeline above and beyond 2 - 47 3 - 48 current media campaign. Specific program
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 good response 2 - 45 3 - 47 extra information
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 good response. Went above and beyond 2 - 48 detail 3 - 48 outlined experience
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 detail. Above and Beyond 2 - 46 3 - 47 outlined details
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 timeline budget 2 - 46 outlined details 3 - 48
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Youth Connection Foundation		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 142 had a good response. More than just will provide 2 - 135 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 2 - 75 will provide 3 - 90 adjusted
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 since 2003 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 94 very relevent exp. 2 - 100 3 - 90 did outline contract with PDHHS
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 48 very relevent projects/current 2 - 48 3 - 48

Regional Prevention Specialist			
PHH25-RFP-2026-0624NAV			
Individual Scoring Matrix			
The evaluator/evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a total number of 1500 points. The Provision of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.			
Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Youth Connection Foundation			xxxxxx
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
CSAP Strategies	300 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Information Dissemination	50	48	1 - 48 great response timeline and cost 2 - 48 3 - 47.5 current media campaign
Education	50	48	1 - 48 great response timeline and cost 2 - 47 3 - 45 current media campaign
Alternatives	50	48	1 - 48 great response timeline and cost 2 - 45 3 - 47 current media campaign
Environmental	50	48	1 - 48 great response timeline and cost 2 - 48 3 - 48 details
Community-Based Process	50	48	1 - 48 multi activities great response timeline and cost 2 - 46 3 - 47 outline details
Problem Identification and Referral	50	48	1 - 48 great response timeline and cost 2 - 46 3 - 48 experience current media campaign details. outline
Other	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification

of Services, Company Profile and Experience, Resumes, Cost Proposal portions of the proposal will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide. References will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis.

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
Youth Connection Foundation		xxxxxx	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Strategic Plan/Logic Model	150	113	1 - 142 nothing above and beyond. 2 - 135 3 - 113
Quarterly Status Reports	100	75	1 - 75 will comply 2 - 75 3 - 90 moved to 75
Offeror Qualifications	250 Points	Points Awarded	Justification
Years in Business	100	100	1 - 100 since 2003 2 - 100 3 - 100
Relevant Experience	100	95	1 - 94 very relevant exp. 2 - 100 3 - 90 did outline contract with PDHHS
Relevant Past Projects	50	48	1 - 47 relevant past experience 2 - 50 3 - 47